MARCIT[™] Gel Polymer Treatments in the Arbuckle – A Status Report

TORP Oil Recovery Conference

Bank of America Auditorium Downtown Wichita, Kansas Thursday, March 13, 2003



Rich Pancake Tertiary Oil Recovery Project University of Kansas



Acknowledgements

- Wish to thank
 - **TIORCO** and Gel-Tec for help in collecting treatment data and with operator contacts.
 - Those operators who have shared data.
 - Especially Vess Oil and Murfin Drilling for help in collecting data and for permission to share that data.
 - **Trilobite well testing for BHP surveys.**

Presentation Outline

Review of Arbuckle Polymer Treatments

- TORP's Efforts in Evaluating Arbuckle Polymer Treatments
- Future TORP/PTTC Activities Related to Arbuckle Polymer Treatments

Kansas Arbuckle Structure



Kansas Arbuckle Production



Typical Arbuckle Well



- +/- 140 MARCIT technology polymer jobs pumped in the Arb. since 2000 (as of Feb '03)
 - +/- 80 by TIORCO
 - +/- 60 by Gel-Tec
- Treatment locations
 - +/- 60 % of jobs pumped in Bemis-Shutts Field
 - Remainder pumped in Marcotte, Star Northwest, Northampton, Jelinek, Ogallah, Trapp, Geneseo-Edwards, and other fields



Courtesy of the Kansas Geological Survey



Courtesy of the Kansas Geological Survey

Polymer Treatments in Bemis-Shutts



Courtesy of the Kansas Geological Survey

Well selection criteria

- Well drilled up structure
- Well originally had high, water-free IP
- Well at its economic limit because of high WOR
- Well has very high fluid level
- Well has high calculated flow potential

• Treatment design criteria

Vender 1

- For high fluid level wells, pump 2x well's daily production, up to 4000 bbls.
- For low fluid level wells, pump 1x well's daily production.
- Surface treating pressure not to exceed 200 psig.

Vender 2

- Gel volume pumped to be near well's calculated maximum inflow, up to 4000 bbls.
- Surface treating pressure to be between 200 and 400 psig.

- Typical treatment design
 - Pull pump & tbg. Sand pump well. RIH w/ tbg & packer. Set pkr +/- 100 ft above interval.
 - Acidize well w/ between 250 & 1500 gals 15% HCI.
 - Recent trend appears to be towards the larger, 1500 gal acid jobs.
 - Pump polymer down tbg.
 - Small job 1000 to 1600 bbls.
 - Large job 3000 to 4100 bbls.
 - Larger jobs are typically in Bemis.
 - Recent trend may be to pump even larger jobs.

- Typical treatment design (cont'd)
 - Pump polymer down tbg (cont'd).
 - Gel loadings increase in 3 to 4 stages 3500, 4000, 5000, and 6500 ppm.
 - Recent trend appears to be to increase gel loading at end of job to 7500 or 8500 ppm.
 - Flush tbg w/ oil or water.
 - Typically 100 bbl water flush.
 - Typically 50 to 100 bbl oil flush.
 - Philosophy of oil or water flush varies among operators.
 - Shut-in well 7 to 14 days. Return well to production.

Polymer treatment examples –

Average to below average jobs

Example of Nice Initial Response

Murfin's Johnson B #3A Polymer Job August 2-3, 2001 (1621 bbls gel, 97% of job treated on a vacuum, 51 psig max treating press)



Example of Average to Good Response

Murfin's Hadley BC #10 Polymer Job August 14-18, 2001 (3806 bbls gel, 100% of job treated on a vacuum, 0 psig max treating press)



Example of Average to Good Response





Example of Poorer Response

Vess's Colahan A #41 Polymer Job August 18-21, 2001 (2988 bbls gel, 8.2% of job treated on a vacuum, 923 psig max treating press)



Example of Poorer Response

Vess's Colahan A #2 Polymer Job August 26-30, 2001 (4093 bbls gel, 29% of job treated on a vacuum, 591 psig max treating press)



Example of Poorest Response

Murfin's Glathart #1 Polymer Job

December 8-9, 2001

(1007 bbls gel, 0% of job treated on a vacuum, 200 psig max treating press)



Job costs

- Gel cost
 - \$35 M to \$45 M for larger jobs (+/- 4,000 bbl)
 - \$15 M to \$20 M for smaller jobs (+/- 1,500 bbl)
- Rig & acid costs
 - \$5 M to \$10 M depending on rig time & volume acid
- Total costs
 - \$40 to 55 M for large jobs
 - \$20 to 30 M for small jobs

- Pay-out (based only on incremental oil recovery, water reduction savings not considered)
 - 3 to 6 month pay-out for average performing jobs
 <u>Assumptions</u>
 - +/- 18 BOPD/well incremental oil recovery for 6 months
 - \$22/bbl oil price
 - \$45 M job cost
 - Poorest performing jobs did not pay-out
 - **Assumptions**
 - +/- 6 BOPD/well incremental oil recovery for 6 months
 - \$22/bbl oil price
 - \$45 M job cost



Murfin's Hadley A #3 Polymer Job



TIORCO's Polymer Injection Equipment



TIORCO's Polymer Mixing Hopper



Gel-Tec Polymer Job on an Elysium Well



Pumping into well



Tri-plex pump and crosslinker storage



Computer Monitors

Presentation Outline

Review of Arbuckle Polymer Treatments

TORP's Efforts in Evaluating Arbuckle Polymer Treatments

• Future TORP/PTTC Activities Related to Arbuckle Polymer Treatments

TORP's Efforts

- Objective help operators maximize gel polymer treatment performance.
- 1) Develop comprehensive database by which to compare all Arbuckle gel polymer treatments.
 - Hope to spot trends that lead to improved treatments.
 - Have contacted several operators requesting information on gel polymer treatments.
 - Getting some positive feedback and information.

WE NEED MORE DATA!

- Names and locations of treated wells with pertinent well data.
- Details on pre-treatment acid job.
- Detailed treating report from vendor.
- Before and after water & oil production.
- Before and after fluid levels.
- Before and after production equipment.

Develop plot of job performance

Vess's Colahan A #2 Polymer Job August 26-30, 2001 (4093 bbls gel, 29% of job treated on a vacuum, 591 psig max treating press)



TORP's Efforts

- 2) Conduct and analyze pre and posttreatment build-up tests using TORP's computerized Echometer.
 - Measure formation kh and skin.
 - Determine if reservoir flow is linear (through fracture) or radial (through matrix).
 - For pre-treatment build-ups, attempt to predict how much polymer a well will take.
 - Have performed pre-treatment build-ups on 7
 Arbuckle wells (5 in Bemis-Shutts 2 in Geneseo-Edwards)
 - Have performed post-treatment build-ups on 6
 Arbuckle wells (5 in Bemis-Shutts 1 in Geneseo-Edwards)



Build-up Test on Vess Oil's McCord A #4

Hadley A #3 Build-up Data



McCord A #4 Build-up Data



Colahan A #8 Build-up Data



Hall B #4 Build-up Data



Jennie Johansen #8 Build-up Data



Fuller 11-28 Build-up Data



TORP's Efforts *

- 3) Analyze bottom-hole pressure (BHP) surveys run on 6 wells.
 - Bottom-hole pressure measured (via pressure bomb on slickline) before, during, and after gel treatment.
 - Hope to gain insights into the gel/rock interface, which should help in sizing treatments and setting maximum treating pressures.
 - Hope to determine a friction coefficient for pumping gel down tubing.
- * With financial assistance from vendors and oil companies



Trilobite Testing's Slickline Trailer at Vess Oil's Hall B #4

Surface & Bottom-hole Pressure Plot

VESS OIL CORPORATION - HALL B #4 PRODUCING WELL - ARBUCKLE FORMATION

MARCITsm Polymer Gel Treatment Rate vs. Pressure

Treatment Date: October 21-23, 2002



-D-Injection Rate - Surface Pressure - Bottomhole Pressure - Polymer Concentration (ppm)

Surface & Bottom-hole Pressure Plot

VESS OIL COMPANY JENNIE JOHANSEN # 8



Courtesy of Gel-Tec

BHP Data – Hall B #4



Time, hours

BHP Data – Hall B #4



Time, hours

BHP Data – Hall B #4



Presentation Outline

Review of Arbuckle Polymer Treatments

- TORP's Efforts in Evaluating Arbuckle Polymer Treatments
- Future TORP/PTTC Activities Related to Arbuckle Polymer Treatments

Future TORP/PTTC Activities

- Finish post-treatment build-ups hope to document how reservoir changes after treatments.
- Sponsor operator for <u>completed</u> operators who have pumped jot <u>rebruary 4, 2003</u>.
- PTTC to conduct gel polymer workshop Summer 2003.
- Publish case studies relative to gel polymer treatments – Fall 2003.
- Put gel polymer database online Fall 2003.

