Geostatistical 3D Reservoir Modeling of Mississippian St. Louis Carbonate Reservoir Systems, Kansas Lianshuang Qi, Kansas Geological Survey, the University of Kansas



Lithofacies



Lithofacies ² Cross-bedded Quartz-rich

fine quartz (up to 40 ~ 50%) and nslatent stratification a commonly are in the 10 to 20 degre range. Permeability is less than 1md, mos <0.01md. Non-reservoir facies.



Lithofacies 2 Argillaceous Limestone

ctensive condensed sec ed by thin bedded, often fiss to grav argillaceous limest ods and ostracods. On electric solavs a distinctively higher gamma-r esponse. Non-reservoir facies



Lithofacies 3 Skeletal Wackestone

brown wackestone with large. ely un-abraded skeletal fragments. and fenestrate brvozoans are the ant skeletal tragments with . brachiopods, gastropods and inifera also present. Porosity averages less than one percent, with permeability o 0.01 md. Non-reservoir facies.



Lithofacies 4

Peloidal Grainstone/ **Fenestral Limestone** ine quartz, and fine ooid grains. The fene imestone is characterized by "bird's-eye" of fenestral fabric (indicator of intertidal to supratidal deposition). Typically occurs adjacent to the ooid-skeletal grainstone facie Non-reservoir facies.



Lithofaices 5

Ooid Skeletal Grainstone

present. Main porosity is interparticle with min inher porosity and lower resistivity. Accumulater in a high-energy, open-marine environment



Lithofaices 6 Cemented Ooid Skeletal Grainstone

of medium to verv coarse size and entric structure and are usually skeletal arains. especialy s. Porosity and permeability are very poor. Non-reservoir facies.

Stratigraphy Model



Carr & Lundgren, 1999





Determined predictor variables (GR, ILD, ILM, PE, NPhi, DPhi) and lithofacies categories were used to build Neural Network models. The size of network and damping parameters were optimized using cross-validation and repeat testing with whole training data and randomly chosen partial data sets.

Predicted Lithofacies Scorecard (Counts) for 10 key trained wells with PE Curve



The results of model with network size (number of hidden layers) 35 and damping parameter 0.05 for 10 key wells with PE log. The absolute accuracy for this model is 91%. For a few wells without PE curve, the results decreased but an absolute accuracy of approximately 85% is still attained.



Cross validation performed on different network size and damping parameters with objective function and mad parameter, which is mean absolute difference between the predicted and actual facies number. Neural network model (size=35, damping =0.05) was selected for facies prediction.





Fort Riley (Permian) structural map (Showing tilting during Laramide)



Interpreted St Louis paleo-structural map (Datum on Permian to remove Laramide tilting)



St Louis Limestone total isopach map



Cross section lines in study area











patterns



Lithofacies predicted from Neural Network model were input into PETRA to evaluate correlations and geological significance. St Louis A, B, C and D surfaces were picked as parasequence surfaces. The interpolated lithofacies profiles illustrate the St Louis Limestone geometries and depositional patterns. The oolite shoals were deposited on the structural highs during the transgressive and high-stand periods. Oolite shoal deposits have an ellipsoid elongated geometry, and display aggradational and progradational accumulation